1	State of Alaska
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3	DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
4	
5	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGISTS AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATE EXAMINERS
6	December 18, 2021
7	These are DRAFT minutes prepared by the staff of the
8	Division of Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing.
9	These minutes have not yet been reviewed or approved by the Board.
10 11 12	By the authority of AS. 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.64, Article 6, a scheduled board meeting was held via Zoom.
13	Saturday, December 18, 2021
14	
15	Agenda Item – Call to Order & Roll Call
16	Board Chair Erin Johnson called the meeting to order at 10:04 AM
17	
18	Those present constituting a quorum of the board:
19	Erin Johnson, Chair, Psychologist
20	Bradley McConnell, Psychologist
21	Sherri Scott, Psychological Associate
22	Bernard Gatewood, Public Member
23	
24	In attendance from the Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of
25	Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing were:
26	
27	Lacey Derr, Records & Licensing Supervisor
28	
29	Members of AkPA Present:
30	
31	Marvo Reguindin – Executive Director
32	Hannah Ekstrom – MLA Drafter
33	Robert Lane – MLA Drafter
34	Marjory Kyle – AkPA Member
35	Stephanie Richardson
36 27	John Walsh - Lobbyist
37	Agonda Itam - Poviow and Annroya Agonda
38	Agenda Item – Review and Approve Agenda
39 40	The board reviewed the drafted agenda of the meeting.
41	the sourd reviewed the drafted agenda of the meeting.
41	

In a motion duly made by Bernard Gatewood and seconded by Bradley McConnell, with unanimous consent, it was resolved to approve the agenda as presented.

Agenda Item - Model Licensure Act - AkPA

Board Chair Erin Johnson provided a bit of background on the Model Legislation Act (MLA) presented by AkPA and the request to have a meeting with the drafters of the legislations. This was to help answer board questions and provide clarifying information within the MLA. It was noted the draft being reviewed was version 32-LS0963\A and that the language of 'reciprocity' had been removed. The board began addressing each area of change one by one.

AS 08.86.070, (4), (9)

The first section included an addition of a code of ethics. The board questioned how this came to be added and AkPA stated it was likely part of a public workgroup and possibly even a suggestion by a former board member. AkPA explained the requirement for a code of ethics was in regulation and for consistency, adding it broadly to statute was a way to "match things up". The board questioned if during public commentary, had any other code of ethics besides APA been preferred and there were a few suggestions but APA was the strongly preferred choice, matching closely with the intent of the MLA as well. Also questioned was the intent behind the addition of maintaining an active relationship with a national association of psychology boards as the board wanted to ensure their ability to maintain sovereignty. At times, the agenda of national associations may conflict with the boards and it is important to be able to maintain the ability to disagree. Per AkPA representatives, the inclusion was suggested by previous Alaska Psychology Board members and likely associated with the administration of the national psychology exam (EPPP) and facilitation of score distribution. The language was intended to be broad to support board sovereignty.

AS 08.86.130(a), (3), (5), (c)

Chair Johnson requested AkPA present some of the thinking behind the suggested changes to (3), then the board could respond with questions. Hannah Ekstrom with AkPA explained this was largely taken from the APA Model License Act and psychology students are doing a lot more hours and training pregraduation. The debt associated with these extra hours/training is also compounding. She continued to explain 17 states have already reviewed and adopted by removing the requirement of post-doctoral internship. The language was developed to make it an option for potential licensees who have obtained the hours prior to graduation the ability to obtain full licensure or a combination of predoctoral and postdoctoral internship. Ms. Ekstrom further described how agencies would be able to bill for these licensed providers and allows additional access to mental health services in Alaska. Chair Johnson asked about the 'Temporary License' and if it was removed. The 'Temporary License' is how a potential licensee obtains the postdoctoral hours as described but could not locate the Temporary License language in this draft and asked why it had been removed. Ms. Ekstrom did not have the immediate answer and would look into that change, it could be there was no changes therefore not included in the presented draft. Chair Johnson asked what were the average practical hours AkPA was finding before people entered pre- and post-doctoral internships. Robert Lane with AkPA stated there were predicated on 1500 hours of practical and 1500 hours in predoctoral internship. Board member Sherri Scott asked about the parameter set for these hours and it was relayed the hours would be based on APA

equivalency standards. The board and AkPA discussed the potential language confusion of using 'practicum' and suggested it be 'practical and internship' with no comma. Section (5) was briefly discussed and was primarily lifted from the APA Model license act to the give board the ability to create forms, this was not a specific AkPA related suggestion. AkPA would investigate changing 'brief summary' to 'CV' or similarly related language.

The board's next question section (c) and what the definition of 'professional sources' meant or what intended audience was for professional sources. Mr. Lane and Ms. Ekstrom indicated this would be something the board could define in regulation. Chair Johnson highlighted the broadness as written could result in letters of reference from anyone who held any sort of professional license and not within a similar licensing field as envisioned. The board also asked why three (3) letters of reference instead of the five (5) as is currently written. Additionally, Chair Johnson addressed what was written on the application and current regulation, they are 'letters of reference' not 'letters of recommendation'. AkPA representatives stated this number was not pulled from APA draft language but feedback from workgroups and the language could be made parallel to current regulation if 'letters of recommendation' was not accurate. There were no negatives immediately identified by using the word 'recommendation' so no changes were suggested at this time.

AS 08.86.132

Moving onto the section adding AS 08.86.132 – Part-time Licenses – the board asked where twenty (20) years of practice came from. An example was presented by AkPA for the case of Dr. Paul Craig who had ended traditional practice and consulting to write a textbook. Once he completed this and began presenting his work, providing lectures, and discussing this information, he ran into conflict with the written statue & regulation and calling himself a psychologist. This intermediate area of practice between full practice and retirement is where the Part-time license would apply. In regard to the 20 years of practice, AkPA indicated this is a number where there is significant longevity in the professional confidence of these individuals but this number was an area of concern for drafters as well as it could be seen as discriminatory. Chair Johnson asked about how many psychologists are anticipated to fit into this category as it potentially is making a brand-new license type for 20-30 people. AkPA stated there were only 5-6 individuals who attended workgroups that would fit into this category. The board did want to identify concern with '20 years of practice' as this could be discriminatory and that they would need to hold additional discussions as a board to address potential issues.

AS 08.86.150(c)

The board briefly wanted to bring attention to the word 'unreasonable' and concerns that word could have for the board. The concern was what does unreasonable mean as it can drastically vary from one person to another. Staffing shortages across the nation affect production and would the board be able to defend that word in court? AkPA was going to bring it back to their workgroups to identify if that would be the most appropriate statement.

AS 08.86.155, 08.86.165, & 08.86.168

Dr. McConnell questioned section (b)(1) and the 40 CE's completed in the preceding two years and clarifying that of Alaska's CE requirements. The discussion included the difference of in person CE requirements versus jurisdictions where all self-study may be allowed. Chair Johnson agreed and

further questioned what the meaning behind the 'inactive license' was. Mr. Lane explained it makes sense to have an inactive license at times for various reasons in life but individuals need a way of getting them back (these are not considered long breaks of practice). If they have taken a short break and kept up on CE's, it is a way to get back to active practice quickly. Section 08.86.165 & 08.86.168 was intended to mirror that of the psychologist, just for psychological associates. There were no further questions on these sections.

AS 08.86.180(b)

The board asked for the intent on this section as these would *NOT* be applicable for licensure, specifically the tribal organization verbiage. The board had requested clarification on these private tribal organizations and their fit into the public health, IHS, or other non-state board regulated entity. AkPA responded that they had not contacted their governmental representative but were going to do so and provide clarification. Ensuring proper licensing (or not) was happening if private village tribal organizations were indeed sovereign to that of state licensing requirements. It was suggested the board define "Tribal Organization" in regulation and the board requested further clarification from appropriate federal government representatives first.

Agenda Item - Adjourn

The board thanked AkPA for taking the time to go line by line and the feelings were reciprocated. The board requested to see the draft revisions made so they could discuss further and identify any additional areas of review.

In a motion duly made by Bernard Gatewood and seconded by Bradley McConnell, with unanimous consent, it was resolved to adjourn the meeting at 12:21 PM.

Off Record: 12:21 PM

164 Lacey Derr165 Records & Licensing Supervisor

172 Erin Johnson173 Board Chair

<u>____</u>

Date

Date